

**Additional queries raised by FoffFP on 14<sup>th</sup> Feb 2022- Response shared with applicant prior to hearing.**

**From:** The Friends of Finsbury Park <

**Sent:** 14 February 2022 13:20

**To:** Licensing <[Licensing.Licensing@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:Licensing.Licensing@haringey.gov.uk)>; Cllr Hakata Mike < Clive Carter < Cllr Blake Barbara < Cllr Peacock Sheila < >; Cllr Rossetti Alessandra <

**Subject:** Re: FW: LICENSING SUB COMMITTEE - HEARING NOTIFICATION FOR A NEW AND VARIATION APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE- KRANKBROTHERS -FINSBURY PARK EVENTS

Dear Daliah

CC councillors on the committee

I hope you are very well.

I have just read through the papers published for this hearing on Thursday around Krank Bros license application in Finsbury Park. Some comments:

1. Haringey's public health department has said they could not "*make a full assessment of the application as further documents have not been provided for review. This includes COVID-19 Management Plan, Noise Management Plan and Adverse Weather Plan.*" Does that feel right noting the last 2 years? The applicants have said they will do and already do submit an event management plan for their events held under the existing licence. The EMP (Event Management Plan) includes a section on adverse weather. If there are any Covid related matters that are required by law, these too will be required to be adhered by the promoter. The promoter is able to offer any measures they feel appropriate outside of any regulatory requirements in this regard also.

2. The documentation from the promoter is littered with TBCs (there are 36) and falls short in material areas that objectors have noted (noise, safety, environmental impact) These matters are addressed within the specific EMP for the event and or with the Park Service via the contract in terms of the environmental impact/safety.

3. Example 1: The enduring impact from bad rain last year was very bad and took months to recover - the adverse weather section is left blank. The language "*As single day, relatively short duration events taking place within a city park, it is unlikely that adverse weather events will require the temporary shelter or accommodation of audience members. The focus of planning will therefore be the monitoring of and contingency plans for a range of adverse weather events*" feels glib. [See above.](#)

4. Example 2: The application appears to have no noise management plan. I appreciate you will tell me this session pertains to their license, but I think it speaks to broader competence as a promoter and the standards you as a

licensor which to hold them to. The EMP is submitted with specific measures to address the wide range of issues covered with the planning and staging of an event.

5. The headline request for this session was to increase attendees up to 8,000 people. In fact, it is for 9,999 and on an indefinite basis. (Noting the council's comments towards a review of its major events policy as regard finsbury park in 2022, surely a one year period is appropriate?) A comment you can make to the LSC. The reports is setting out the facts, the law allows for the fee paid for the licence application that a capacity of 9999 is permitted, the applicants wish to limit it to 8000, entirely their call in this regard. The applicant is not seeking a time limited licence, the Act permits indefinite licences as standard. The promoter is not seeking a one off event. The LSC are able to consider their request as it stands for an indefinite licence as permitted under the Licensing Act 2003. The promoter will follow the Event Safety guide in ensuring that sufficient infrastructure is put in place whatever the capacity levels are.

The LSC are NOT able to deal with the Parks Event Policy , this is subject to a separate process.

6. It does not have our objection in materials - can you give us an assurance that this reflects all comments the council has received.

Your representation submitted is included in the reports for both applications. I believe it was initially not showing in the report but has been included by the Committee Clerk. Your rep has also been shared with the applicants as required under the regulations.

Are you comfortable this is a sensible basis for public scrutiny and / or ready for it on Thursday.

The process as prescribed in the Licensing Act 2003 procedure set by the Sec of State is being followed, there are outstanding representations so the matter goes to the LSC for determination, officers have no discretion in this regard.

With warm regards,

Tom OBO FOFP

---